(2020) 6:32

# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 

# Range of plasma brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels in hemodialysis patients at a high risk of 1-year mortality and their relationship with the nutritional status: a retrospective cohort study in one institute



Etsuko Kumagai<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Keiko Hosohata<sup>3</sup>, Kazuhiro Furumachi<sup>1</sup> and Shinji Takai<sup>2</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels are used as a marker of heart failure, which is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in dialysis patients. BNP levels increase as renal function declines. The range of BNP levels associated with satisfactory longevity in dialysis patients currently remains unknown.

**Methods:** In total, 660 patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis were enrolled. BNP levels were measured at the end of the year and in a follow-up to assess 1-year mortality between 2008 and 2012. Patients were divided into six groups according to BNP levels: < 50 (reference), 50 to < 100, 100 to < 300, 300 to < 500, 500 to < 1000, and  $\geq$  1000 pg/mL. One-year mortality at each BNP level was analyzed using Cox's proportional hazards model after adjustments for confounding factors.

**Results:** During the follow-up period, 78 (11.8%) deaths were recorded. After adjustments for confounding factors, such as gender, age, hemodialysis vintage, and primary disease, the risk of 1-year mortality was significantly high with BNP levels of 500 to < 1000 (hazard ratio [HR] 3.010; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.065–10.729; P = 0.037) and more than 1000 pg/mL (HR 5.291; 95%CI 2.014–18.170; P = 0.0003). After adjustments for Kt/V, the risk of 1-year mortality was also significantly high with BNP levels of 500 to < 1000 (HR 3.045; 95%CI 1.065–10.929; P = 0.037) and more than 1000 pg/mL (HR 5.221; 95%CI 1.943–18.165; P = 0.0006). Following further adjustments for nutritional factors, such as albumin levels, total cholesterol levels, the normalized protein catabolic rate (nPCR), body mass index (BMI), and percent creatinine generation rate (%CGR), BNP levels of 500–1000 (HR 1.990; 95%CI 0.639–7.570; P = 0.244), and more than 1000 pg/mL (HR 2.100; 95%CI 0.663–8.105; P = 0.213) were no longer risk factors.

(Continued on next page)

<sup>1</sup>Kenwakai Hospital, 1936 Kanaenakadaira, lida, Nagano 395-0801, Japan
<sup>2</sup>Department of Innovative Medicine, Osaka Medical College, 2-7 Daigakucho, Takatsuki, Osaka 569-8686, Japan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>©</sup> The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: e-kumagai@kenwakai.or.jp

# (Continued from previous page)

**Conclusion:** In dialysis patients, a BNP level  $\geq$  500 pg/mL is a risk factor for 1-year mortality. The risk associated with high BNP levels is reduced by nutritional factors, which suggests a relationship between high BNP levels and the nutritional status. In conclusion, efforts are needed to maintain BNP levels at lower than 500 pg/mL and improve the nutritional status.

Keywords: Brain natriuretic peptide, Hemodialysis, Mortality, Nutritional status

# Background

Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels are used as a marker of heart failure [1], which is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in hemodialysis patients. BNP levels in these patients are crucial for diagnosing and assessing the severity of heart failure and predicting future cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [2]. Plasma BNP levels increase with declines in renal function, and BNP levels are elevated in hemodialysis patients, even in the absence of heart failure. However, the normal threshold of BNP levels in hemodialysis patients currently remains unknown.

The range of BNP levels in hemodialysis patients needs to be clarified in order to ensure satisfactory longevity. Therefore, the present study investigated the relationship between plasma BNP levels and 1-year mortality in hemodialysis patients and examined the range of BNP levels associated with an improved prognosis.

# Methods

In total, 660 patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis were enrolled in the present study. BNP levels were measured at the end of the year and in a follow-up to assess 1year mortality between 2008 and 2012. The hazard ratio (HR) for 1-year mortality was evaluated in six groups of patients divided according to BNP levels: BNP < 50 (control),  $50 \leq \text{BNP} < 100, \ 100 \leq \text{BNP} < 300, \ 300 \leq \text{BNP} < 500, \ 500 \leq$ BNP < 1000, and  $1000 \leq$  BNP. Analyses were performed in four steps. In the first step, we adjusted for basal confounding factors, such as gender, age, hemodialysis vintage, and primary disease. In the second step, we adjusted for Kt/V as a prescriptive factor. In the third step, we adjusted for nutritional factors, including serum albumin levels, total cholesterol levels, the normalized protein catabolic rate (nPCR), body mass index (BMI), and percent creatinine generation rate (%CGR). In the fourth step, we adjusted for transthyretin (also known as prealbumin) levels.

A blood sample was obtained before the first hemodialysis session of the week. BNP levels were measured using the automatic enzyme immunoassay device AIA-600II<sup>®</sup> (TOSO CORPORATION) with E-test TOSO II (BNP).

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP (ver.10). *P* values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant.

The present study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Kenwakai Hospital (No. 2019008).

# Results

The baseline characteristics of study participants are shown in Table 1. Among 660 patients, 446 (67.6%) were male. As the primary disease, 318 (48.2%) had chronic glomerulonephritis (CGN), 255 (38.6%) diabetic nephropathy (DN), and 87 (13.2%) other diseases. Regarding patient characteristics related to heart disease, 578 (87.6%) out of 660 patients were prescribed antihypertensive agents, while 147 (22.3%) had a history of acute coronary syndrome, coronary intervention, or coronary surgery. Aortic stenosis and/ or regurgitation of more than moderate severity according to the ACC/AHA practice guidelines were noted in 40 (6.2%) out of 645 patients examined by echocardiography. Mitral regurgitation of more than moderate severity and/or severe was detected in 26 (4.0%) out of 645 patients examined by echocardiography. Heart failure with a reduced ejection fraction (< 40%) was observed in 18 (2.80%) out of 641 patients examined by echocardiography. The most common hemodialysis vintage was 5-10 (29.7%). As a hemodialysis prescription factor, Kt/V values were the most frequently distributed between 1.4 and < 1.6 (30.6%). Nutritional factors were nPCR, serum albumin levels, total cholesterol levels, BMI, and %CGR. In the analysis of targeting factors, 147 patients had a BNP level of 100 to < 200 pg/mL (22.3%), and 143 had a transthyretin level of 20 to < 25 mg/dL (21.8%). As shown in Table 2 , the mean age was  $68.5 \pm 12.9$ years and mean vintage was  $6.48 \pm 5.09$  years.

Table 3 shows the prognosis of study participants. During the observation period, there were 78 deaths (11.8%). Table 4 shows the causes of death: heart failure in 19, cerebrovascular disease in 13, infection in 11, cachexia/uremia in 10, sudden death in 6, malignant tumors in 5, gastrointestinal disease in 5, and myocardial infarction in 4. Table 5 shows HR for 1-year mortality after adjustments for confounding factors. DN as the primary disease was a significantly higher risk factor (HR 2.11; 95%CI 1.31–3.45; P = 0.0018) than CGN. Kt/V

# Table 1 Background characteristics of the study participants

Basal factors										
Gender	male	female	total							
Number	446	214	660							
%	67.6	32.4	100							
Age (year)	0~	30~	45~	60~	75~	total				
Number	6	24	115	285	230	660				
%	1	3.6	17.4	43.2	34.8	100				
Primary disease	CGN	DM	others	total						
Number	318	255	87	660						
%	48.2	38.6	13.2	100						
Hemodialysis vintage	< 2	2~	5~	10~	15~	20~	25~	$\geq$ 30	total	
Number	136	186	196	91	39	10	2	0	660	
%	20.6	28.2	29.7	13.8	5.9	1.5	0.3	0	100	
Hemodialysis prescription factor										
Kt/V	<0.8	0.8~	1.0~	1.2~	1.4~	1.6~	1.8~	≥ <b>2.0</b>	total	
Number	13	20	47	110	202	152	75	41	660	
%	2	3	7.1	16.7	30.6	23	11.4	6.2	100	
Nutrition factors										
nPCR (g/kg/day)	<0.5	0.5~	0.7~	0.9~	1.1~	≥ <b>1.3</b>	total			
Number	21	175	320	124	17	2	660			
%	3.2	26.6	48.5	18.8	2.6	0.3	100			
Albumin (g/dl)	<3.0	3.0~	3.5~	4.0~	≥ <b>4.5</b>	total				
Number	49	235	306	69	1	600				
%	7.4	35.6	46.4	10.5	0.1	100				
BMI (kg/m²)	<16	16~	18~	20~	22~	24~	26~	≥ <b>28</b>	total	
Number	5	32	120	186	156	93	31	36	660	
%	0.7	4.9	18.2	28.2	23.7	14.1	4.7	5.5	100	
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	<80	80~	120~	160~	200~	≤ <b>240</b>	total			
Number	0	68	283	193	31	1	576			
%	0	11.8	49.1	33.5	5.4	0.2	100			
%CGR (%)	<60	60~	70~	80~	90~	100~	110~	120~	≥130	total
Number	66	48	78	95	110	115	71	38	35	656
%	10.1	7.3	11.9	14.5	16.8	17.5	10.8	5.8	5.3	100
Analysis targeting factors										
BNP (pg/ml)	<50	50~	100~	200~	300~	500~	≥ <b>1000</b>	total		
Number	97	99	147	62	79	92	84	660		
%	14.7	15	22.3	9.4	12	13.9	12.7	100		
Transthyretin (mg/dl)	<15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35	35-40	≥ <b>40</b>	total		
Number	32	60	143	141	130	92	59	657		
%	4.9	9.1	21.8	21.4	19.8	14	9	100		

values of 1.0 to < 1.2 were a significantly higher risk factor than 1.2 to < 1.4 (HR 2.42; 95%CI 1.12–5.18; P = 0.02). N-PCR values of 0.5 to < 0.7 were a significantly higher risk factor than 0.7 to < 0.9 (HR 2.07; 95%CI 1.26–3.42; P = 0.0039). Serum albumin levels of 3.0 to < 3.5 g/dL

were a significantly higher risk factor (HR 3.25; 95%CI 1.87–5.88; P < 0.0001) than 3.5 to < 4.0 g/dL. %CGR of 60–70% was a significantly higher risk factor than 90 to < 100% (HR 2.75; 95%CI 1.11–9.92; P < 0.030). Transthyretin levels of 15 to < 20 mg/dL were a significantly higher

 Table 2 Mean values of factors in study participants

Factor	Number	$Mean \pm SD$
Age	660	68.5 ± 12.9
Hemodialysis vintage (year)	660	6.48 ± 5.09
Kt/V	660	1.533 ± 0.343
nPCR (g/kg/day)	659	0.782 ± 0.157
Albumin (g/dl)	660	3.49 ± 0.412
BMI (kg/m²)	656	22.21 ± 3.06
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	576	153.04 ± 29.14
%CGR (%)	656	92.1 ± 24.93
BNP (pg/mL)	660	480.02 ± 732.01

*BMI* body mass index, *BNP* brain natriuretic peptide, *nPCR* normalized protein catabolic rate, *%CGR* percent creatinine generation rate

risk factor than 20 to < 25 mg/dL (HR 3.86; 95%CI 1.92–8.00; P = 0.0002).

Figure 1 shows the results obtained in the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of BNP levels. The 1-year survival rate was significantly low at a BNP level > 300 pg/mL. Figure 2 shows the estimated cubic spline transformation of the relationship between BNP levels and HR for 1-year mortality adjusted for age, gender, dialysis vintage, and primary diseases. BNP levels in hemodialysis patients correlated with 1-year mortality. Figure 3 shows a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the relationship between BNP levels and 1-year mortality. The area under the curve was 0.69, and the cut-off value was 299.2 pg/mL. Table 6 shows HR for 1-year mortality at each BNP level relative to that less than 50 pg/mL. After adjustments for basal confounding factors (gender, age, primary disease, and hemodialysis vintage) (model 1), comparisons with the reference group revealed that BNP levels of 500 to < 1000 pg/mL were a significantly high-risk factor (HR 3.01; 95%CI 1.07–10.73; P < 0.037), as were those higher than 1000 pg/mL (HR 5.29; 95%CI 2.01–18.17; P < 0.0003). After further adjustments for Kt/V values (model 2), similar results were obtained to those in model 1. A BNP level higher than 500 pg/mL was significantly high. After further adjustments for five nutritional factors (nPCR, serum albumin levels, BMI, total cholesterol levels, and %CGR) (model 3), BNP levels higher than 500 pg/mL were not significant. Table 7 also shows HR for 1-year mortality at each BNP level. Models 1 and 2 were the same as those in Table 6, whereas model 4 showed HR further adjusted for transthyretin. BNP levels higher than 500 pg/mL were also not significant.

Table 3	Prognosis	of study	participants
---------	-----------	----------	--------------

	5	
Prognosis	Number	%
Survival	582	88.2
Death	78	11.8
Total	660	100

	Number	%
Heart failure	19	24.3
Cerebrovascular disease	13	16.7
Infection	11	14.1
Cachexia/uremia	10	12.8
Sudden death	6	7.7
Malignant tumor	5	6.4
Gastrointestinal disease	5	6.4
Myocardial infarction	4	5.1
Others	5	6.4
Total	78	100

Table 8 shows correlation coefficients and *P* values for BNP and each parameter. BNP levels correlated with age, BMI, albumin levels, total cholesterol levels, Kt/V, nPCR, %CGR, and transthyretin levels. BNP levels showed the strongest correlation with transthyretin levels.

# Discussion

The Japanese Society for Dialysis Treatment (JSDT) investigated several indices related to 1-year mortality in patients after adjustments for basal confounding factors, including gender, age, hemodialysis vintage, and primary disease; dialysis prescription factors, such as Kt/V; and nutritional factors, including nPCR, albumin levels, total cholesterol levels, BMI, and %CGR [3]. BNP levels were not included in these indices. In the present study, we used the JSDT method to analyze HR for 1-year mortality at different BNP levels. We concluded that a BNP level higher than 500 pg/mL is a significantly high-risk factor for 1-year mortality.

The present study confirmed previous findings showing that BNP levels are a predictor of mortality in hemodialysis patients [4-6]. Naganuma et al. reported that a BNP level less than 200 pg/mL was associated with a good prognosis after a 3-year follow-up [7], whereas Biasioli et al. showed that a BNP level less than 335 pg/mL was associated with a good prognosis after a 28-month follow-up [8]. Zoccali et al. identified a BNP level higher than 125 pg/mL as a significantly high-risk factor after a 26-month follow-up [9, 10]. Our follow-up period of 1 year was the shortest, while the number of factors used for adjustments was the largest. A shorter follow-up period is suitable for evaluating BNP levels as a tool for risk stratification and treatment guidance. BNP levels need to be maintained at lower than 500 pg/ mL as a daily management goal.

Page 5 of 9

factors			-	-	factors (Continued)
factor	Hazard	95%CI		p value	factor
	ratio	lower	upper		
Basal correcting factors					4.5≦
Gender					Total cholesterol
male (reference)	1				<80
female	0.8071195	0.482928	1.301835	0.3874	80 to <120
Age					120 to <160
per year	1.080624	1.056946	1.105951	0.925391	(reference)
Hemodialysis vintage(y	ear)				160 to <200
<2	1.2368068	0.676626	2.236559	0.4845	200 to <240
2~	0.9264575	0.511665	1.664664	0.7981	240≧
5~ (reference)	1				BMI (kg/m²)
10~	0.6188481	0.246238	1.363282	0.2444	<16
15~	0.835351	0.245519	2.161668	0.7336	16 to <18
20~	0.8217469	0.045988	3.884436	08428	18 to <20
25~	6.6119647	0.369841	31.30687	0.1522	20 to <22 (reference)
30~	-	-	-	-	22 to <24
Primary disease					24 to <26
CGN (reference)	1				26 to <28
DN	2.1136519	1.317961	3.452752	0.0018	28≦
others	0.9470856	0.379865	2.057472	0.8975	%CGR (%)
Hemodialysis prescription fac	tor				<60
Single pool Kt/V					60 to <70
<0.8	3.4472538	1.113841	9.013165	0.0336	70 to <80
0.8 to <1.0	1.3144702	0.303081	4.027443	0.6766	80 to <90
1.0 to <1.2	2.4205658	1.123396	5.178495	0.0246	90 to <100 (refe
1.2 to <1.4 (reference)	1				100 to <110
1.4 to <1.6	0.9066037	0.472084	1.805214	0.7733	110 to <120
1.6 to <1.8	0.6185594	0.281182	1.339853	0.2214	120 to <130
1.8 to <2.0	0.4126628	0.116978	1.150472	0.0935	130≦
20≤	0.7575922	0.214755	2 1121	0.6157	Transthyretin (m
Nutrition relating factors	0070722	012111000	2	0.0107	<15
nPCR (g/kg/dav)					15 to <20
<05	3 5341434	1 326819	791195	0.0144	20 to <25 (reference)
0.5  to  < 0.7	2 0793417	1 267456	3 4 2 4 6 3 3	0.0039	25 to <30
0.5 to $< 0.9$ (reference)	1	1.207 150	5.12 1055	0.0055	30 to <35
0.9  to  < 1.1	06749971	0 288367	1 402628	0 3057	35 to <40
11 to <13	2.11E_00	0	1 180454	0.0706	40≦
1.1 (0 < 1.5	2.11E-09	0	10.03386	0.5302	BMI body mass index,
Albumin (a/dl)	2.11L-09	0	10.05580	0.5502	nephropathy, <i>nPCR</i> not generation rate
<03	8 0070761	1666076	17/0001	< 0001	-
$\langle 0.2 \rangle$	2 2500065	1.075404	17.49091	< 0001	The risk assoc
3.0  (U  < 3.3)	3.2300905	1.073094	J.00∠8U8	<.0001	canceled after ac
3.5 to <4.0 (reference)	1				tore, a relations

0.5191474 0.0082335 1.810644 0.3393

4.0 to <4.5

Table 5	Cox's	proportional	hazard	ratio o	of prognostic c	orrecting
factors						

#### 95%CI factor Hazard p value ratio lower upper 3.22E-08 0 4.5≦ 35.6988 0.7359 Total cholesterol (mg/dl) <80 1.3127943 0.637005 2.493205 0.441 80 to <120 120 to <160 1 (reference) 160 to <200 0.7676479 0.431736 1.321843 0.3451 200 to <240 2.071826 0.7481263 0.180457 0.6148 240≦ 77.12728 4.101126 441.142 0.0104 BMI $(ka/m^2)$ <16 2.6829842 0.593253 12.33062 0.1361 16 to <18 1.4307039 0.532743 3.250588 0 4 4 6 5 0.764411 0.380715 18 to <20 1.460268 0.4227 20 to <22 (reference) 1 22 to <24 0.7607745 0.405455 0.3769 1.390405 24 to <26 0.6119119 0.258927 1.292297 0.206 26 to <28 0.2169053 0.012157 1.01973 0.0538 28≦ 0.7508242 0.221565 1.927202 0.5806 %CGR (%) <60 3.5766971 1.630619 8.3974 0.0014 60 to <70 2.7486736 1.107819 6 91 95 64 0.0297 70 to <80 1.4473888 0.56513 3.706997 0.4341 80 to <90 1.4485841 0.599571 3.593324 0.4081 90 to <100 (reference) 1 100 to <110 1.166507 0.482823 2.893589 0.7313 110 to <120 0.508935 0.112931 1.705797 0.287 120 to <130 0.6324356 0.096427 2.454098 0.5391 130≦ 1.059352 0.235067 3.550648 0.9314 Transthyretin (mg/dl) <15 10.055064 4.997492 20.87464 <.0001 3.8640013 1.924016 8.008218 0.0002 15 to <20 20 to <25 (reference) 1 25 to <30 1.089919 0.509545 2.348036 0.823 30 to <35 0.313215 0.5221 0.759041 1.759291 35 to <40 0.350335 0.080339 1.086928 0.0712 5.23E-10 0.0023 40≦ 0 0.368802

Table 5 Cox's proportional hazard ratio of prognostic correcting

BMI body mass index, CGN chronic glomerulonephritis, DN diabetic nephropathy, nPCR normalized protein catabolic rate, %CGR percent creatinine generation rate

The risk associated with a BNP level  $\geq$  500 pg/mL was canceled after adjustments for nutritional factors. Therefore, a relationship appears to exist between high BNP levels and the nutritional status. The presence of chronic heart failure with ongoing weight loss or a low BMI is a



predictor of muscle mass loss, a decline in exercise capacity, and a poor prognosis [11]. A syndrome involving weight loss, general fatigue, fat loss, and muscle wasting with chronic disease was recently recognized as cachexia. Cachexia is a prevalent and important pathological condition associated with chronic heart failure. It reduces survival independently of the heart failure function class and ejection [12, 13]. BNP levels play a major role in salt and water homeostasis, protecting the cardiovascular system from the effects of volume overload and cardiac comorbidities. In the bioimpedance method, BNP levels reflect individual variations in the hydration status of hemodialysis patients [14]. A value of 500 pg/mL has been used to differentiate between hemodialysis patients with or without volume overload [15, 16]. The extracellular water content to intracellular water content ratio increases as BMI decreases. A strong negative correlation was previously reported between excess fluid mass and BMI [17]. Patients with BNP levels higher than 500 pg/mL were overhydrated and weight loss from



Dotted lines are 95% confidence limits



malnutrition resulted in additional fluid excess. On the other hand, even though BNP levels were high, good nutrition, and weight gain reduced volume overload. Chronic overhydration is an independent predictor of mortality in hemodialysis patients [18]. The risk associated with a high BNP level depends on the nutritional status. The combination of a high BNP level with a poor nutritional status needs to be treated not only by cardiological therapy, but also nutritional support. Despite the

accepted importance of the influence of nutritional factors on the severity of cardiovascular disease, limited information is currently available on dietary intake and heart failure. Furthermore, existing nutritional interventions for heart failure were mostly pilot studies with small sample sizes and short follow-ups [19]. The findings of 17 randomized controlled trials indicated that education on nutritional interventions had positive effects on the clinical outcomes of patients; however, they

Table 6 HR for 1-	year mortalit	y of BNP	levels ad	justed for	basal factors,	Kt/V	and nutrition	factors
-------------------	---------------	----------	-----------	------------	----------------	------	---------------	---------

BNP (pg/ml)	Adjusted (model 1)	for basal f	actors		Adjusted for basal factors and Kt/V (model 2)				Adjusted for basal factors, Kt/V and nutrition factors (model 3)			
	HR	р	95%CI		HR	р	95%CI		HR	p value	95%CI	
		value	lower	upper		value	lower	upper			lower	lower
<50 (reference)	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
50 to <100	0.997121	0.9966	0.262147	4.051568	0.964564	0.9574	0.253041	3.926629	0.8384388	0.8047	0.205753	3.621547
100 to <200	1.907679	0.2326	0.678499	6.766743	1.840871	0.2619	0.652984	6.541653	0.9592779	0.9477	0.292026	3.725938
200 to <300	1.198396	0.7993	0.28121	5.106865	1.165241	0.8354	0.260802	5.155792	0.8387627	0.8229	0.170045	4.04536
300 to <500	2.446838	0.1157	0.808727	8.994658	2.5366	0.1026	0.835008	9.354117	2.5461197	0.133	0.758488	10.11498
500 to <1000	3.009917	0.0369	1.065084	10.72921	3.044996	0.037	1.065717	10.92917	1.9907521	0.2444	0.639274	7.570738
≥1000	5.291238	0.0003	2.013968	18.17073	5.221112	0.0006	1.943194	18.16484	2.0999726	0.2136	0.663497	8.104608

HR hazard ratio

Table 7 HR for 1-year mortality of BNP levels adjusted for basal correcting factors, Kt/V and transthyretin

BNP (pg/ml)	Adjusted f (model 1)	or basal fa	actors		Adjusted for basal factors and Kt/V (model 2)				Adjusted for basal factors, Kt/V and transthyretin (model 4)				
	HR	HR	р	95%CI		HR	р	95%CI		HR	р	95%CI	
		value	lower	upper		value	lower	upper		value	lower	lower	
<50 (reference)	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
50 to <100	0.997121	0.9966	0.262147	4.051568	0.964564	0.9574	0.253041	3.926629	0.8926795	0.8672	0.233334	3.644237	
100 to <200	1.907679	0.2326	0.678499	6.766743	1.840871	0.2619	0.652984	6.541653	1.7642041	0.3025	0.619779	6.310716	
200 to <300	1.198396	0.7993	0.28121	5.106865	1.165241	0.8354	0.260802	5.155792	0.8019716	0.7659	0.178553	3.594217	
300 to <500	2.446838	0.1157	0.808727	8.994658	2.5366	0.1026	0.835008	9.354117	1.986255	0.2446	0.636523	7.46915	
500 to <1000	3.009917	0.0369	1.065084	10.72921	3.044996	0.037	1.065717	10.92917	1.7769718	0.3121	0.602509	6.532927	
≥1000	5.291238	0.0003	2.013968	18.17073	5.221112	0.0006	1.943194	18.16484	2.710241	0.0653	0.942719	9.854096	

HR hazard ratio

all involved sodium and fluid restrictions [20]. After the administration of a high-caloric (600 kcal), high-protein (20 g), and oral nutritional supplement for 6 weeks to cachexic heart failure patients, significant improvements were observed in the quality of life, 6-m walking test, and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  without the significant recovery of peak VO<sub>2</sub> or the left ventricular ejection fraction; BNP was not measured in that study [21]. After the administration of 500 mL/day of enteral nutrition for 3 months to elderly heart failure patients, marked improvements were noted in BNP, interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , and C-reactive protein levels [22].

After adjustments for transthyretin levels, the risk associated with BNP  $\geq$  500 pg/mL was no longer significant. Transthyretin is an important indicator of not only the nutritional status, but also the survival of hemodialysis patients after adjustments for age, gender, race, hemodialysis vintage, the diabetic state, and nutritional markers, including serum albumin levels [22, 23]. A recent study reported that serum transthyretin levels correlated with body fat mass [24]. The half-life of transthyretin is 2–3 days, which is shorter than that of serum albumin.

**Table 8** Correlation coefficients and p value for BNP and the studied parameter

	Mean	SD	r	р
Age	68.51212	12.86282	0.222574	<.0001
Hemodialysis vintage (year)	6.476169	5.092643	0.017756	0.6489
BMI (kg/m²)	22.2116	3.056318	-0.14469	0.0002
Albumin (g/dl)	3.490455	0.4122	-0.29155	<.0001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	153.0382	29.14238	-0.11052	0.0079
Kt/V	1.532889	0.342536	-0.1803	<.0001
nPCR (g/kg/day)	0.782168	0.15667	-0.19454	<.0001
%CGR (%)	92.01985	24.93402	-0.21274	<.0001
Transthyretin (mg/dl)	28.45586	8.384788	-0.39012	<.0001

*BMI* body mass index, *nPCR* normalized protein catabolic rate, *%CGR* percent creatinine generation rate

decline in serum transthyretin levels by 10 g/dL over 6 months is a robust predictor of increased mortality [25, 26]. The present results showed that a transthyretin level of less than 20 mg/dL was a high-risk factor. In hemodialysis patients, the combination of a BNP level  $\geq$  500 pg/mL and transthyretin level < 20 mg/dL, which is a decrease of more than 10 mg, warrants urgent treatment.

# Conclusions

In dialysis patients, a BNP level  $\geq 500 \text{ pg/mL}$  is a significantly high-risk factor for 1-year mortality. The risk associated with a high BNP level is canceled out after adjustments for nutritional factors. Therefore, a relationship appears to exist between high BNP levels and the nutritional status. Efforts are needed to maintain a BNP level of less than 500 pg/mL and improve nutritional factors. The risk associated with a BNP level  $\geq 500 \text{ pg/mL}$  also depends on transthyretin levels, which need to be maintained at > 20 mg/dL.

#### Abbreviations

%CGR: Percent creatinine generation rate; BMI: Body mass index; BNP: Brain natriuretic peptide; CGN: Chronic glomerulonephritis; DN: Diabetic nephropathy; nPCR: Normalized protein catabolic rate

# Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

EK wrote the manuscript and KH revised it. EK contributed to the research concept and study design. KF contributed to data acquisition, the risk of bias assessment, data analysis/interpretation, and statistical analyses. ST contributed to data interpretation. EK contributed to supervision and mentorship. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

Funding for this study was not provided by any person or institution.

#### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript.

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

The present study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the research Ethics Committee of Kenwakai Hospital (No.2019008).

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Kenwakai Hospital, 1936 Kanaenakadaira, lida, Nagano 395-0801, Japan.
<sup>2</sup>Department of Innovative Medicine, Osaka Medical College, 2-7 Daigakucho, Takatsuki, Osaka 569-8686, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Education and Research Center for Clinical Pharmacy, Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 4-20-1 Nasahara, Takatsuki, Osaka 569-1094, Japan.

## Received: 2 December 2019 Accepted: 6 July 2020 Published online: 22 July 2020

# References

- McCullough PA, Nowak RM, McCord J, Hollander JE, Herrmann HC, Steg PG, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide and clinical judgment in emergency diagnosis of heart failure: analysis from breathing not properly (BNP) multinational study. Circulation. 2002;106:416–22.
- Hirakata H, Nitta K, Inaba M, Shoji T, Fujii H, Kobayashi S, et al. Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy guidelines for management of cardiovascular diseases in patients on chronic hemodialysis. Therapeutic apheresis and dialysis: official peer-reviewed journal of the International Society for Apheresis, the Japanese Society for Apheresis, the Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy. 2012;16:387–435.
- https://member.jsdt.or.jp/member/contents/cdrom/2009/FILE/zusetsu.html. Accessed 15 September 2019.
- Wang AY, Lai KN. Use of cardiac biomarkers in end-stage renal disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2008;19:1643–52.
- Teranishi M, Hirata Y, Miyashita K, Suzuki M, Ishii K, Goto A, et al. Significance of plasma brain and atrial natriuretic peptides as long-term survival predictors in hemodialysis patients-13-year follow up study. Journal of Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy. 2006;39:1467–73.
- Hashimoto K, Ishiguro M, Ikutaka T, Yasue Y, Ohkuma T, Torisawa M, et al. Can plasma BNP serve as a factor predicting cardiovascular events in hemodialyzed patients. Journal of Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy. 1997;30:117–23.
- Naganuma T, Sugimura K, Wada S, Yasumoto R, Sugimura T, Masuda C, et al. The prognostic role of brain natriuretic peptides in hemodialysis patients. Am J Nephrol. 2002;22:437–44.
- Biasioli S, Zamperetti M, Borin D, Guidi G, De Fanti E, Schiavon R. Significance of plasma B-type natriuretic peptide in hemodialysis patients: blood sample timing and comorbidity burden. ASAIO J. 2007;53:587–91.
- Mallamaci F, Zoccali C, Tripepi G, Benedetto FA, Parlongo S, Cataliotti A, et al. Diagnostic potential of cardiac natriuretic peptides in dialysis patients. Kidney Int. 2001;59:1559–66.
- Zoccali C, Mallamaci F, Benedetto FA, Tripepi G, Parlongo S, Cataliotti A, et al. Cardiac natriuretic peptides are related to left ventricular mass and function and predict mortality in dialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2001; 12:1508–15.
- 11. Evans WJ, Morley JE, Argiles J, Bales C, Baracos V, Guttridge D, et al. Cachexia: a new definition. Clin Nutr. 2008;27:793–9.
- Anker SD, Swan JW, Volterrani M, Chua TP, Clark AL, Poole-Wilson PA, et al. The influence of muscle mass, strength, fatigability and blood flow on exercise capacity in cachectic and non-cachectic patients with chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 1997;18:259–69.
- Okoshi MP, Capalbo RV, Romeiro FG, Okoshi K. Cardiac cachexia: perspectives for prevention and treatment. Arg Bras Cardiol. 2017;108:74–80.
- Stenberg J, Melin J, Linberg M, Furuland H. Brain natriuretic peptide reflects individual variation in hydration status in hemodialysis patients. Hemodial Int. 2019;23:402–13.
- Lee SW, Song JH, Kim GA, Lim HJ, Kim M-J. Plasma brain natriuretic peptide concentration on assessment of hydration status in hemodialysis patient. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;41:1257–66.

- Tapolyai M, Faludi M, Réti V, Lengvárszky Z, Szarvas T, Fülöp T, et al. Volume estimation in dialysis patients: the concordance of brain-type natriuretic peptide measurements and bioimpedance values. Hemodial Int. 2013;17:406–12.
- Ohashi Y, Saito A, Yamazaki K, Tai R, Matsukiyo T, Aikawa A, et al. Brain natriuretic peptide and body fluid composition in patients with chronic kidney disease: a cross-sectional study to evaluate the relationship between volume overload and malnutrition. Cardiorenal Med. 2016;6:337–46.
- Zoccali C, Moissl U, Chazot C, Mallamaci F, Tripepi G, Arkossy O, et al. Chronic fluid overload and mortality in ESRD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017;28: 2491–7.
- 19. Kerley CP. Nutritional interventions in heart failure: challenges and opportunities: current heart failure reports. 2018;15:131-140.
- Abshire M, Xu J, Baptiste D, Almansa JR, Xu J, Cummings A, et al. Nutritional intervention in heart failure: a systematic review of the literature. J Card Fail. 2015;21:989–99.
- Rozentryt P, von Haehling S, Lainscak M, Nowak JU, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Polonski L, et al. The effects of a high-caloric protein-rich oral nutritional supplement in patients with chronic heart failure and cachexia on quality of life, body composition, and inflammation markers: a randomized, doubleblind pilot study. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2010;1:35–42.
- 22. Zhou H, Qian H. Relationship between enteral nutrition and serum levels of inflammatory factors and cardiac function in elderly patients with heart failure. Clin Interv Aging. 2018;13:397–401.
- Mittman N, Avram MM, Oo KK, Chattopadhyay J. Serum prealbumin predicts survival in hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis: 10 years of prospective observation. Am J Kidney Dis. 2001;38:1358–64.23.
- Chertow GM, Ackert K, Lew NL, Lazarus JM, Lowrie EG. Prealbumin is as important as albumin in the nutritional assessment of hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int. 2000;58:2512–7.
- Matsuura S, Shirai Y, Kubo M, Nayama C, Okitsu M, Oiwa Y, et al. Body fat mass is correlated with serum transthyretin levels in maintenance hemodialysis patients. J Med Invest. 2017;64:222–7.
- Rambod M, Kovesdy CP, Bross R, Kopple JD, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Association of serum prealbumin and its changes over time with clinical outcomes and survival in patients receiving hemodialysis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:1485–94.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

